Inclusive deuteron–induced reactions and final neutron states

G. Potel,[1,2] F. M. Nunes,[1,3] and I.J. Thompson[2]

[1]National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
[2]Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory L-414, Livermore, CA 94551, USA
[3]Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1321

We present in this paper a formalism for deuteron–induced inclusive reactions. We disentangle direct elastic breakup contributions from other processes (which we generically call non–elastic breakup) implying a capture of the neutron both above and below the neutron emission threshold. The reaction is described as a two step process, namely the breakup of the deuteron followed by the propagation of the neutron–target system driven by an optical potential. The final state interaction between the neutron and the target can eventually form an excited compound nucleus. Within this context, the direct neutron transfer to a sharp bound state is a limiting case of the present formalism.

arXiv:1510.02727v1 [nucl-th] 9 Oct 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

The population of discrete neutron states with (d, p) transfer reactions is a well established experimental method. It has proven to be the tool of choice for the study of the single–particle nature of states close to the Fermi energy, providing information about the energy, spin, parity, and spectroscopic factors, of those states. As a result of the coupling with more complex nuclear degrees of freedom, some of them get fragmented and spread over a finite energy region, and, as we move away from the Fermi energy, they acquire larger energy widths. As we go towards the neutron drip line, the Fermi energy gets closer to the neutron–emission threshold, and, eventually, slides into the continuum. Standard direct transfer reaction theory, such as the Distorted Wave Born Approximation (DWBA) and coupled channels approaches, deal, as a rule, with the population of sharp discrete states. They are thus not well adapted for the description of the transfer to wide states, let alone to states in the continuum region of the spectrum. Early works to provide a more suitable formalism were initiated in the late 70's, but the activity in this field ended quite abruptly in the early 90's, leaving behind an unresolved controversy regarding different approaches ([1–9]). Recently, a few groups have revived the subject, producing different computer codes to implement the reaction formalism ([10–12]). Though their approaches are slightly different, they are all based on a two–step description of the reaction mechanism. The two steps considered to describe the deuteron–target reaction are the breakup of the deuteron followed by a propagation of the loose neutron in the target field. This field is modeled with an optical potential, and can account for the absorption of the neutron both in finite–width bound states and in the above neutron–emission threshold continuum states.

Aside from providing valuable spectroscopic information about the nature of single-particle states in nuclei, the absorption of the neutron can be used at profit to study neutron–induced reactions in radio active isotopes with the surrogate reaction method in inverse kinematics. A considerable theoretical and experimental effort is being devoted to the study of neutron capture (n, γ) and neutron induced fission (n, f) reactions in exotic nuclei making use of the surrogate method ([13–18]). In these experiments, an exotic beam impinging on a deuteron target absorbs the neutron of the deuteron, forming an (as a rule) excited compound nucleus that later decays emitting principally γ radiation and neutrons. The theoretical prediction of the cross section for the formation of the compound nucleus in a state of given excitation energy, angular momentum and parity (see [10]) is key for the extraction of the (n, γ) cross sections from the analysis of the experiment ([18]). In section (II) we briefly introduce the formalism, (we refer to [10] for a detailed derivation), and provide specific expressions for the numerical calculation of non–elastic breakup cross sections. In section (III) we show examples of final neutron states, and we discuss the relationship between the population of states below neutron–emission threshold and the direct neutron transfer in the DWBA approximation.

II. THEORETICAL FORMULATION

A. General formalism in the *prior* representation

Let us consider the reaction A(d,p)B* which includes elastic breakup and any other inelastic processes. The three-body Hamiltonian for the problem is

$$H = K_n + K_p + h_A(\xi_A) + V_{pn}(r_{pn}) + V_{An}(r_{An}, \xi_A) + U_{Ap}(r_{Ap}), \tag{1}$$

where K_n and K_p are the kinetic energy operators acting on the neutron and proton coordinates respectively. We have adopted a spectator approximation for the outgoing proton, we thus model its interaction with the target by means of an optical potential U_{Ap}. The coordinates used throughout are defined in Fig. 1. Starting from neutron–target (U_{An}) and deuteron–target (U_{Ad})



FIG. 1: Schematic representation of the system under consideration with the coordinates used in its description.

optical potentials, we can define the optical model Green's function in the breakup channel,

$$G_B^{opt} = \frac{1}{E - E_p - \varepsilon_A - K_n - U_{An}(r_{An}) + i\epsilon}, \tag{2}$$

and the source term

$$S_{\text{prior}} = \left(\chi_f^{(-)}\middle| U_{Ap} - U_{Ad} + U_{An}\middle|\phi_d \chi_i\right), \tag{3}$$

where round bracket indicates integration over the proton coordinate only, and the proton distorted wave $\chi_f^{(-)}$ satisfies the equation

$$\left(E_f - K_p - U_{Ap}^{\dagger}\right)\chi_f^{(-)} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where E_f is the final channel energy. We can then define the neutron final wavefunction in the *prior* representation,

$$\psi_n^{prior} = G_B^{opt} S_{\text{prior}}, \tag{5}$$

and the non-orthogonality function

$$\psi_n^{HM} = \left(\chi_f^{(-)}\middle| \ \phi_d \chi_i\right). \tag{6}$$

It can be shown (see [10]) that the non–elastic breakup cross section in the *prior* representation can then be written in term of (5) and (6) as

$$\left.\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_p dE_p}\right]^{NEB} = -\frac{2}{\hbar v_d}\rho_p(E_p)\left[\Im\left\langle \psi_n^{prior}|W_{An}|\psi_n^{prior}\right\rangle + 2\Re\left\langle \psi_n^{HM}|W_{An}|\psi_n^{prior}\right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_n^{HM}|W_{An}|\psi_n^{HM}\right\rangle\right], \tag{7}$$

where

$$\rho_p(E_p) = \frac{m_p k_p}{8\pi^3\hbar^2} \tag{8}$$

is the proton level density, and E_p is the kinetic energy of the detected proton.

B. Partial wave expansion

The implementation of the formalism relies on the numerical evaluation of the source term

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{prior}}(\mathbf{r}_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) &= \left\langle \chi_p\middle| V \middle|\phi_d\chi_d\right\rangle \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}_{Ap}\,\chi_p^{(-)*}(\mathbf{r}_{Ap};\mathbf{k}_p)V(r_{An},r_{Bn},r_{pn})\phi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_d^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_d). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It is convenient to express the quantities of interest in terms of a partial wave expansion

$$S_{\rm prior}(\mathbf{r}_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = \frac{2m_n}{\hbar^2}\sum_{lml_p}\mathcal{F}_{lml_p}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p)Y^l_m(\theta_{Bn})Y^{l_p}_{-m}(\hat{k}_p). \tag{10}$$

Let's first extract the dependence of the neutron final angular momentum l by defining the F coefficients,

$$F_{lm}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = \int d\Omega_{Bn} S_{\rm prior}(\mathbf{r}_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p)Y^{l*}_m(\theta_{Bn}), \tag{11}$$

and $d\Omega_{Bn} \equiv \sin(\theta_{Bn})\,d\theta_{Bn}\,d\varphi_{Bn}$. The distorted waves of the proton and the deuteron can be expanded in partial waves in a standard way,

$$\chi^{(-)*}_p(\mathbf{r}_{Ap};\mathbf{k}_p) = \frac{4\pi}{k_p r_{Ap}}\sum_{l_p} i^{-l_p}e^{i\sigma^{l_p}_p} f_{l_p}(r_{Ap})\sqrt{2l_p+1}\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_p}(\hat{k}_p)\right]^0_0, \tag{12}$$

where $f_l(r_{Ap})$ is the solution, for each partial wave, of the radial part of the Schrödinger equation with an optical potential $U_{Ap}(r_{Ap})$.

$$\chi_d(+)(\mathbf{r}_d) = \frac{4\pi}{k_d r_d}\sum_{l_d} i^{l_d}e^{i\sigma^{l_d}_d} g_{l_d}(r_d)\sqrt{2l_d+1}\left[Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)Y^{l_d}(\hat{k}_d)\right]^0_0. \tag{13}$$

In this last expression, $g_l(r_d)$ is the solution, for each partial wave, of the radial part of the Schrödinger equation with the optical potential $U_{Ad}(r_d)$ describing the relative motion between the deuteron and A in the initial channel. If we only take into account the S–wave component of the deuteron wavefunction, we can write

$$\phi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}u_d(r_{pn}). \tag{14}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{lm}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = {} & \frac{8\pi^{3/2}}{k_d k_p}\sum_{l_p l_d} i^{l_d-l_p}e^{i(\sigma^{l_p}_p+\sigma^{l_d}_d)}\sqrt{(2l_p+1)(2l_d+1)} \\ & \times\int dr_{Ap}\,d\Omega_{Ap}\,d\Omega_{Bn} r_{Ap}\frac{f_{l_p}(r_{Ap})\,g_{l_d}(r_d)}{r_d}u_d(r_{pn})V(r_{An},r_{Bn},r_{pn}) \\ & \times\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_p}(\hat{k}_p)\right]^0_0\left[Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)Y^{l_d}(\hat{k}_d)\right]^0_0 Y^{l*}_m(\theta_{Bn}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

After some Racah algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{lm}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = {} & \frac{8\pi^{3/2}}{k_d k_p}\sum_{l_d-l_p} i^{l_d-l_p}e^{i(\sigma^{l_p}_p+\sigma^{l_d}_d)}\sum_{KM}(-1)^{K-M}\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{k}_p)Y^{l_d}(\hat{k}_d)\right]^K_{-M} \\ & \times\int dr_{Ap}\,d\Omega_{Ap}\,d\Omega_{Bn} r_{Ap}\frac{f_{l_p}(r_{Ap})\,g_{l_d}(r_d)}{r_d}u_d(r_{pn})V(r_{An},r_{Bn},r_{pn}) \\ & \times\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)\right]^K_M(-1)^{l-m}Y^l_{-m}(\theta_{Bn}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We can then make the replacement

$$\begin{aligned} \left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)\right]^K_M Y^l_{-m}(\theta_{Bn}) &\to \langle l\ l\ m\ -m|0\ 0\rangle\left\{\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)\right]^l Y^l(\theta_{Bn})\right\}^0_0 \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l-m}}{\sqrt{2l+1}}\left\{\left[Y^{l_p}(\hat{r}_{Ap})Y^{l_d}(\hat{r}_d)\right]^l Y^l(\theta_{Bn})\right\}^0_0, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

as all other possible angular momentum couplings integrate to zero. Note that this is required for angular momentum conservation. The integrand being rotationally invariant, we can evaluate it for a particular configuration (say, the z–axis along $\mathbf{r}_{Bn},\mathbf{k}_p$

and $\mathbf{r}_{Ap}$ lying in the xy plane) and multiply the result by a factor of $8\pi^2$ (resulting from the integration over $\varphi_{Ap}, \varphi_{Bn}$ and θ_{Bn}). Then one can then write the l, m, l_p coefficient defined in eq. (10) as the 2–D integral that is numerically evaluated in our code,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{lml_p}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = (-1)^m \frac{16\pi^{5/2}}{k_d k_p} \sum_{l_d} i^{l_d - l_p} e^{i(\sigma_p^{l_p}+\sigma_d^{l_d})} \langle l_p\ l_d\ -m\ 0 | l\ -m\rangle \\ \times \sqrt{\frac{2l_d+1}{2l+1}} \int r_{Ap} dr_{Ap}\ \sin(\theta) d\theta \frac{f_{l_p}(r_{Ap})\, g_{l_d}(r_d)}{r_d} \\ \times u_d(r_{pn}) V(r_{An}, r_{Bn}, r_{pn}) \left[Y^{l_p}(\theta) Y^{l_d}(\theta_d) \right]_0^l ,\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where θ_d, r_{pn}, r_d are obtained as functions of $r_{Ap}, r_{Bn}, \theta, \theta_{Bn}$ according to the definitions found in Fig. 1, and are to be evaluated for $\theta_{Bn} = 0$.

The non orthogonality term defined in eq. (6) can also be expanded in partial waves in a very similar way,

$$\psi_n^{HM}(\mathbf{r}_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = \sum_{l,m,l_p} \phi_{lml_p}^{HM}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) Y_m^l(\theta_{Bn}) Y_{-m}^{l_p}(\hat{k}_p)/r_{Bn}, \tag{19}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{lml_p}^{HM}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = (-1)^m \frac{16\pi^{5/2}}{k_d k_p} \sum_{l_d} i^{l_d - l_p} e^{i(\sigma_p^{l_p}+\sigma_d^{l_d})} \langle l_p\ l_d\ -m\ 0 | l\ -m\rangle \\ \times \sqrt{\frac{2l_d+1}{2l+1}} \int r_{Ap} dr_{Ap}\ \sin(\theta)\, d\theta \frac{f_{l_p}(r_{Ap})\, g_{l_d}(r_d)}{r_d} u_d(r_{pn}) \left[Y^{l_p}(\theta) Y^{l_d}(\theta_d) \right]_0^l .\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

C. Neutron wavefunction

The partial wave expansion of the Green's function (2) for a given neutron energy ε can be written as

$$G_l(r_{Bn}, r'_{Bn}) = \frac{f_l(k_n, r_{Bn<}) g_l(k_n, r_{Bn>})}{k_n r_{Bn} r'_{Bn}}, \tag{21}$$

where $k_n = \sqrt{2m_n\varepsilon}/\hbar$, and $f_l(k_n, r_{Bn})$ ($g_l(k_n, r_{Bn})$) is the regular (irregular) solution of the homogeneous equation

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_{Bn}^2} + U_{Bn}(r_{Bn}) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2m_n r_{Bn}^2} - \varepsilon \right) \{ f_l(k_n, r_{Bn}), g_l(k_n, r_{Bn}) \} = 0. \tag{22}$$

The neutron wavefunction

$$\psi_n(\mathbf{r}_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) = \sum_{l,m,l_p} \phi_{lml_p}(r_{Bn};\mathbf{k}_p) Y_m^l(\theta_{Bn}) Y_{-m}^{l_p}(\hat{k}_p)/r_{Bn}, \tag{23}$$

can then be obtained with according to eq. (5),

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{lml_p}(r_{Bn}, k_p) &= \int G_l(r_{Bn}, r'_{Bn})\, \mathcal{F}_{lml_p}(r'_{Bn};k_p)\, r'^2_{Bn} dr'_{Bn} \\ &= \frac{1}{k_n} \left(g_l(k_n, r_{Bn}) \int_0^{r_{Bn}} f_l(k_n, r'_{Bn}) \mathcal{F}_{lml_p}(r'_{Bn};k_p)\, r'_{Bn} dr'_{Bn} \right. \\ &\left. + f_l(k_n, r_{Bn}) \int_{r_{Bn}}^{\infty} g_l(k_n, r'_{Bn}) \mathcal{F}_{lml_p}(r'_{Bn};k_p)\, r'_{Bn} dr'_{Bn} \right).\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

III. RESULTS

It is important to note that the neutron wavefunctions (23) are not eigenfunctions of a hermitian Hamiltonian, and can be associated with *any* arbitrary energy ε, both positive and negative. In order to get the physical wavefunctions, the corresponding



FIG. 2: Neutron partial wave coefficient $\phi_{000}(r_{Bn})$ for $\varepsilon = 2.5$ MeV (dashed black line) and $\varepsilon = -7.5$ MeV (red line).



FIG. 3: Non–elastic breakup cross section computed at neutron energies E around a resonance $E_n = -1$ MeV. We compare the complete calculation (left side of eq. (29)) with the isolated–resonance, first–order approximation (right side of eq. (29)), for $W_{An} = 0.5$ MeV, $W_{An} = 3$ MeV and $W_{An} = 10$ MeV. The arrow indicates the value of the eigenstate E_n corresponding to the real part of the optical potential U_{An}, and the vertical dashed line is drawn at the neutron–emission threshold.

boundary conditions have to be enforced by implementing them in the Green's function (21). In order to do that, we impose $\lim_{r_{An}\to 0} f_l(k_n, r_{An}) = 0$ for the regular solution. At large distances the boundary condition of course depends on whether the energy ε is positive or negative. For scattering neutron states (positive ε),

$$\lim_{r_{An}\to\infty} g_l(k_n, r_{An}) \to e^{i(k_n r_{An} - \frac{l\pi}{2})}, \tag{25}$$

while for final neutron bound states (negative ε),

$$\lim_{r_{An}\to\infty} g_l(k_n, r_{An}) \to e^{-(\kappa_n r_{An})}, \tag{26}$$

with $\kappa_n = \sqrt{-2m_n\varepsilon}/\hbar$. This last, somewhat less standard, condition can be implemented by integrating inwards numerically a function with the boundary condition

$$g_l(k_n, R_\infty - h) = \frac{g_l(k_n, R_\infty)}{(1 - \kappa_n h)}, \tag{27}$$

where R_∞ is a large value of the radius and h is the numerical integration step, chosen such that $\kappa_n h \ll 1$. We can thus use eq. (24) to obtain the neutron wavefunction for arbitrary positive and negative energies. As an example, we show in Fig. 2 the wavefunction ϕ_{000} for $\varepsilon = 2.5$ MeV and $\varepsilon = -7.5$ MeV. The neutron wavefunction (23) and the non–orthogonality term (19) can then be used in (7) to obtain the non–elastic breakup cross section. If the final neutron energy is negative, the capture of the neutron in a region in which the imaginary part W_{An} of the optical is small is related to the direct transfer to a sharp bound state. Actually, it can be shown (see [10]) that, in first order of $\langle W_{An}\rangle \equiv \langle\phi_n|W_{An}|\phi_n\rangle$, there is a simple relationship between

the cross section for the capture of a neutron in a bound state of finite width and the cross section for the direct transfer to the corresponding zero–width bound state. Assuming that the one–neutron transfer DWBA amplitude

$$T_n^{(1\mathrm{NT})} = \int \phi_n^* \left(\chi_f^{(-)} \middle| V_{prior} \middle| \phi_d \, \chi_i \right) d\mathbf{r}'_{An} \tag{28}$$

to the single–particle state ϕ_n of the target–neutron residual nucleus is constant in an energy range of the order of $\Gamma_n = 2\langle W_{An} \rangle$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_p dE_p}(E,\Omega) \right]^{NEB} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_n}{(E_n - E)^2 + \Gamma_n^2/4} \frac{d\sigma_n}{d\Omega}(\Omega), \tag{29}$$

where $\frac{d\sigma_n}{d\Omega}$ is the direct transfer differential cross section to the nth eigenstate of the real potential. For the above approximation to be valid, $\langle W_{An} \rangle$ needs to be small, and the distance ΔE between the resonance E_n and te closest one has to be big enough ($\Delta E \gg \Gamma_n$). In particular, the latter condition is hardly verified if the resonance is too close (i.e., within a distance of the order or smaller than Γ_n) to the continuum (neutron emission threshold). In Fig. 3 we compare the first order approximation with the exact calculation for energies close to a resonance 1 MeV away from the neutron emission threshold, for three different values of W_{An}.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a formalism for inclusive deuteron–induced reactions, in which the final neutron–target system is left in an arbitrary state characterized by its energy, angular momentum and parity. The general derivation of the expression of the non–elastic breakup eq. (7) is given elsewhere ([10]), as well as the comparison with experimental results. In this paper we have focused our attention in the final neutron wavefunction, presenting an explicit expression that can be computed numerically (see eqs. (23) and (24)). If the target–neutron interaction is modeled with an optical potential U_{An} with a non–zero imaginary part W_{An}, the negative–energy part of the neutron spectrum is no longer discrete. Instead of being composed by sharp single–particle states, the neutron can have any continuous value of the energy above the Fermi energy. This continuous spectrum exhibit a resonant behavior around a discrete set of energies, with widths naturally related with the value of W_{An}. We show that, in the limit in which W_{An} is small compared to the real part of U_{An} and to the distance between resonances, the set of discrete resonances can be related to the discrete single–particle spectrum, i.e., the set of eigenvalues corresponding to the real part of U_{An}. Moreover, the energy–integrated cross section around a resonance gives the direct DWBA transfer cross section to that particular state.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-1403906, and the Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under award No. DE-FG52-08NA28552, and by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

[1] A. Kerman and K. McVoy, "Fluctuations in two-step reactions through doorways," *Annals of Physics*, vol. 122, no. 1, p. 197, 1979.
[2] T. Udagawa and T. Tamura, "Breakup-fusion description of massive transfer reactions with emission of fast light particles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 45, p. 1311, 1980.
[3] N. Austern and C. M. Vincent, "Inclusive breakup reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 23, p. 1847, 1981.
[4] X. H. Li, T. Udagawa, and T. Tamura, "Assessment of approximations made in breakup-fusion descriptions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 30, p. 1895, 1984.
[5] T. Udagawa and T. Tamura, "Formulation of elastic and inelastic breakup-fusion reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 33, p. 494, 1986.
[6] M. Ichimura, N. Austern, and C. M. Vincent, "Equivalence of post and prior sum rules for inclusive breakup reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 32, p. 431, 1985.
[7] M. Ichimura, "Relation among theories of inclusive breakup reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 41, p. 834, 1990.
[8] M. Hussein and K. McVoy, "Inclusive projectile fragmentation in the spectator model," *Nuclear Physics A*, vol. 445, p. 124, 1985.
[9] M. S. Hussein and R. Mastroleo, "Glauber calculation of heavy–ion and light-ion inclusive break-up cross sections," *Nuclear physics A*, vol. 491, p. 468, 1989.
[10] G. Potel, F. M. Nunes, and I. J. Thompson, "Establishing a theory for neuteron–induced surrogate reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 92, p. 034611, 2015.
[11] J. Lei and A. Moro, "Revisiting closed-form formulae for inclusive breakup," *Physical Review C*, 2015. To be published.
[12] B. V. Carlson, R. Capote, and M. Sin, "Elastic and inelastic breakup of deuterons with energy below 100 MeV," *arXiv:1508.01466 [nucl-th]*, 2015.

[13] J. Escher and F. Dietrich, "Cross sections for neutron capture from surrogate measurements: An examination of Weisskopf–Ewing and ratio approximations," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 81, p. 024612, 2010.

[14] N. D. Scielzo, J. E. Escher, J. M. Allmond, M. S. Basunia, C. W. Beausang, L. A. Bernstein, D. L. Bleuel, J. T. Burke, R. M. Clark, F. S. Dietrich, P. Fallon, J. Gibelin, B. L. Goldblum, S. R. Lesher, M. A. McMahan, E. B. Norman, L. Phair, E. Rodriquez-Vieitez, S. A. Sheets, I. J. Thompson, and M. Wiedeking, "Measurement of γ-emission branching ratios for ^{154,156,158}Gd compound nuclei: Tests of surrogate nuclear reaction approximations for (n,γ) cross sections," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 81, p. 034608, 2010.

[15] J. Escher, J. Burke, F. Dietrich, N. Scielzo, I. Thompson, and W. Younes, "Compound–nuclear reaction cross sections from surrogate measurements," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 84, p. 353, 2012.

[16] R. O. Hughes, C. W. Beausang, T. J. Ross, J. T. Burke, N. D. Scielzo, M. S. Basunia, C. M. Campbell, R. J. Casperson, H. L. Crawford, J. E. Escher, J. Munson, L. W. Phair, and J. J. Ressler, "Utilizing (p,d) and (p,t) reactions to obtain (n,f) cross sections in uranium nuclei via the surrogate-ratio method," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 85, p. 024613, 2012.

[17] T. J. Ross, C. W. Beausang, R. O. Hughes, J. M. Allmond, C. T. Angell, M. S. Basunia, D. L. Bleuel, J. T. Burke, R. J. Casperson, J. E. Escher, P. Fallon, R. Hatarik, J. Munson, S. Paschalis, M. Petri, L. Phair, J. J. Ressler, N. D. Scielzo, and I. J. Thompson, "Measurement of the entry-spin distribution imparted to the high excitation continuum region of gadolinium nuclei via (p,d) and (p,t) reactions," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 85, p. 051304, 2012.

[18] B. Carlson, J. Escher, and M. Hussein, "Theoretical descriptions of compound–nuclear reactions: open problems and challenges," *J. Phys. G*, vol. 41, p. 094003, 2014.